

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/118,945	07/17/1998	JAMES T. HURLEY	042390.P4661	9535
7590 08/09/2004			EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			GOOD JOHNSON, MOTILEWA	
ATTN LEO V 12400 WILSHI	NOVAKOSKI IRE BOULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90025		2672 DATE MAILED: 08/09/2004	28

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7	Anulia stian Na	Annline Market
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/118,945	HURLEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Motilewa A. Good-Johnson	2672
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 21 June 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	•	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the feet under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the feet under 37 CFR 1.17(a) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) as the feet under the	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);	
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(a):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would	· · <del></del>	parata timely filed amondment
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		•
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi —·	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-21.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	τοχ( · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	John Brus
		PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 10. Other: In response to Applicant's letter regarding interview summary. Examiner has spoke to Supervisor Patent Examiner, Michael Razavi, and Quality Assurance Supervisor, Tommy Chin, and was informed that despite the disreprency in claim language, the office action, dated 06/04/2003, paper #22, does in fact address the claim language as amended by the applied new reference and therefore does not render the non-final or final office action improper. Therefore the final office action is maintained and a new office action is not rendered.